

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/837,517	04/19/2001	Nobuo Suzuki	107317-00028	. 8208		
75	90 09/07/2005	EXAMINER				
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC			DANIELS, ANTHONY J			
Suite 600		•				
1050 Connecticut Avenue N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, DC 20036-5339			2615	2615		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/837,517	SUZUKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Anthony J. Daniels	2615		

		Antinony J. Daniels		2015	
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication appe	ars on the cover shee	et with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2005 FAILS	TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN COND	ITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection this application, applicant must timely places the application in condition for a Request for Continued Examination time periods: 	on, but prior to or on file one of the follow allowance; (2) a No (RCE) in compliance	n the same day as filing wing replies: (1) an ame stice of Appeal (with ap ce with 37 CFR 1.114.	a Notice of endment, aff peal fee) in o The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresn					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the no event, however, will the statutory processes. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, or	period for reply expire !	ater than SIX MONTHS fr	om the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJE			., <u>.</u>		iceb within
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CF have been filed is the date for purposes of detern under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply recemay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. NOTICE OF APPEAL	mining the period of ex expiration date of the sived by the Office later	tension and the correspor shortened statutory period r than three months after t	nding amount I for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	A brief in comm	liance with 37 CEP 41	37 must be	filed within two month	se of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 4° a Notice of Appeal has been filed, an	1.37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			60 b 2.6	20	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed af (a) They raise new issues that wou 					ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new ma			icii (see ivo	i ⊏ below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place to appeal; and/or	•	•	materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims	without canceling a	corresponding number	of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation She	<u>et</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliar 	ice with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notic	e of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. $\ \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the f	ollowing rejection(s)	:			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s non-allowable claim(s). 			•	•	J
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed how the new or amended claims would The status of the claim(s) is (or will be Claim(s) allowed: 7,8,10,11,15,16,19 	ld be rejected is pro e) as follows:			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:	<u></u>				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,9,13,14,17,18,2</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed at because applicant failed to provide a was not earlier presented. See 37 CF 	showing of good an				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed at entered because the affidavit or other showing a good and sufficient reason 	evidence failed to	overcome all rejections	under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is ent REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTH	tered. An explanatio	•		. , ,	•
11. The request for reconsideration has		it does NOT place the a	application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclo	osure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-14	149) Paper N	lo(s)	
		NGOC YEN (II)			
		PRIMARY EXAMINE	ER	Anthony Daniels Art Unit 2615	

Zu

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claim 1 in the amendment after final has overcome the cited prior art and the amended claims 3,6,9,12 have overcome the 112 rejection.

PRIMARY EXAMINER